UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/674,117	09/26/2003	Thomas Joseph Fyvie	RD29557-5	2429
	7590 01/03/2008 ECTRIC COMPANY		EXAMINER	
GLOBAL RESEARCH			STINSON, FRANKIE L	
PATENT DOC NISKAYUNA,	CKET RM. BLDG. K1-4 NY 12309	IA59	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
THISTERT OTTER,	, 111 12509		1792	
			·	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/03/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ldocket@crd.ge.com rosssr@crd.ge.com parkskl@crd.ge.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/674,117	FYVIE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		FRANKIE L. STINSON	1792			
The M	AILING DATE of this communication app		1 I			
Period for Reply	<i>!</i>					
WHICHEVER - Extensions of til after SIX (6) MC - If NO period for - Failure to reply Any reply receiv	IED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute and by the Office later than three months after the mailing the period of the office later than three months after the mailing the period of the office later than three months after the mailing the period of the office later than three months after the mailing the office later than three months after the mailing the office later than three months after the mailing the office later than three months after the mailing than the office later than three months after the mailing than the office later than three months after the mailing than the office later than three months after the mailing than the office later than three months after the mailing than the office later than three months after the mailing than the office later than three months after the mailing than the office later than three months after the mailing than the office later than three months after the mailing than the office later than three months after the mailing than three months after the mailing than the office later than three months after the mailing than three months after the mailing than three months after the mailing than the office later than three months after the mailing than the office later than three months after the mailing than the office later than three months after the mailing than the office later than three months after the mailing than the office later than three months after the mailing than the office later than three months after the mailing than the office later than three months after the mailing than the office later than three months after the mailing than the office later than three months after the mailing than the office later than the office	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	CATION. ply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Respo	nsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 N</u>	ovember 2007				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since t	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of C	laime					
	·	!:				
	s) <u>1-9 and 18-39</u> is/are pending in the a he above claim(s) is/are withdray	•				
	s) is/are allowed.	wit from consideration.	•			
	s) <u>1-9 and 18-39</u> is/are rejected.					
	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
A H H B		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Application Pap						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	wing(s) filed on is/are: a) acco					
	nt may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•			
	ement drawing sheet(s) including the correct h or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
		ammer. Note the attached	· :			
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119	. 2	•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
a	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	• ;			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
			•			
Attachment(s)		•				
_	rences Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	ummary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/674,117

Art Unit: 1792

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 and 18-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robbins (U. S. Pat. No. 3,089,325) in view of FRANCE'149 (FRANCE 2 594 149) or Japan'394 (Japan 2001-198394) or France et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 6,840,069). Re claim1, 10 and 18, Robbins is cited disclosing an article cleaning apparatus comprising:

an air management mechanism (col. 1, lines56-62);

a cleaning basket assembly (101);

a fluid regeneration device (60, 61) said fluid regeneration device including a cleaning solvent absorption media that contains a portion of solvent based cleaning fluid to replace the solvent consumed (col. 6, lines 34-40);

a working fluid device (50) coupled to said fluid regeneration device, said basket and said air management mechanism;

a clean fluid device (10) coupled to said cleaning basket assembly and said fluid regeneration device;

a controller (typical) coupled to said air management mechanism, said cleaning basket assembly, said working fluid device, said regeneration device, and said clean fluid device; wherein said controller is configured to control a cleaning process,

Application/Control Number: 10/674,117

Art Unit: 1792

including at least a solvent cleaning process, wherein said solvent cleaning process utilizes a solvent based cleaning fluid that differs from the claim only in the recitation of the solvent comprising cyclic siloxane solvent and a solvent contamination detection device to determine the amount of accumulated contaminant and the detector detecting electromagnetic radiation.. The patents to France, Japan'394 and FRANCE'149 are each cited disclosing a solvent cleaning process, wherein said solvent cleaning process utilizes a solvent contamination detection device to determine the amount of accumulated contaminant in the solvent. It therefore would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Robbins, to include a contamination detection means as taught by France Japan'98394 or FRANCE'149, for the purpose of ensuring articles are never cleaned in a dirty of contaminated solvent, thereby preventing the contamination of the articles from the dirty solvent. As for the specific solvent being cyclic siloxane solvent and detector or electromagnetic radiation, France discloses the use of various sensors (col. 13, lines 9-21). To employ one over another is deemed to be an obvious matter of design. The same is of little patentable weight in that the use of one solvent over another is deemed to be a mere substitution of equivalents (see MPEP 2144.06 SUBSTITUTING EQUIVALENTS KNOWN FOR THE SAME PURPOSE). Nonetheless, France discloses the solvent (col. 3, lines 14-16, as condensed fluid) as claimed (col. 5, lines 48-60). Re claims 2-6 and 11-14, France discloses the detection device (col. 9, lines 43-60) as claimed. Re claim 7-9 and 15-17, Japan'98394 and FRANCE'149 discloses the controller as claimed. All of the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the

Art Unit: 1792

elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKIE L. STINSON whose telephone number is (571) 272-1308. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm and some Saturdays from approximately:5:30 am to 11:30 am.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr, can be reached on (571) 272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

fls

FRANKIE L. STINSON
Primary Examiner
GROUP ART UNIT 1792